



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/294,659	04/19/1999	CHANG-SOO PARK	678-259(P873	6375

7590 10/30/2002

PAUL J FARRELL ESQ
DILWORTH & BARRESE
333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD
UNIONDALE, NY 11553

EXAMINER

LIU, SHUWANG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2634	

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/294,659	PARK ET AL.
	Examiner Shuwang Liu	Art Unit 2634
-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 		
Status		
1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 April 1999</u> .		
2a) <input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL . 2b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final.		
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-16 and 26-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.		
6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9-11,17-22 and 24</u> is/are rejected.		
7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>6,8,23 and 25</u> is/are objected to.		
8) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) <input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 1999</u> is/are: a) <input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved b) <input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) <input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All b) <input type="checkbox"/> Some * c) <input type="checkbox"/> None of: 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) <input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
15) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		
2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4 and 7</u> .		
4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____		
5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-11 and 17-25 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily

published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 2, 9-11, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chennakeshu et al. (US 6,192,503).

As shown in figure 6, Chennakeshu et al. discloses a receiver for communication system, comprising:

(1) regarding claims 1 and 17:

a message information receiver (140) for receiving information about a message to be received;

a controller (160) for determining an iterative decoding number according to the received message information (165) (column 9, lines 37-55); and

a decoder (152) for iteratively decoding the received message according to the determined iterative decoding number.

(2) regarding claims 2 and 18:

wherein the message information includes a class of received data (signal characteristic, for example, signal strength).

(3) regarding claims 9-11:

wherein the decoder is a soft-decision decoder (150) which is a MAP or SOVA (column 4, lines 12-30 and column 8, lines 12-49).

5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 17, 18, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hagenauer et al. (US 5,761,248).

As shown in figures 1-2, Hagenauer et al. discloses a receiver for communication system, comprising:

(1) regarding claims 1 and 17:

a message information receiver for receiving information about a message to be received (column 7, line 50-column 9, line 10);

a controller for determining an iterative decoding number according to the received message information (column 7, line 50-column 9, line 10); and

a decoder for iteratively decoding the received message according to the determined iterative decoding number(column 7, line 50-column 9, line 10).

(2) regarding claims 2 , 18, 22 and 5:

wherein the class includes a permissible time delay (column 8, lines 20-21).

(3) regarding claims 7 and 24:

wherein the message information includes a service type of the received data (column 7, line 50-column 9, line 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3, 4, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chennakeshu et al. in view of Hagenauer et al. (US 6,377,610).

(1) regarding claims 3, 4, 20 and 21:

Chennakeshu et al. discloses all of the subject matter except for specifically teaching of the class including a bit error rate (BER) as recited in claims.

Hagenauer et al., in the same field of endeavor, teaches the relation between BER and iterative decoding number as shown in figure 11. The iterative decoding number increases (from 1 to 5) if the BER is less than a predetermined number.

It would be desirable to enable an existing signal format to be decoded more efficiently and with likelihood of bit errors than is possible with known methods so that by using the controller to determine the relation between BER and iterative decoding number. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the BER as the class of the receiving data as taught by Hagenauer et al. in the decoder process in order to allow the receiver having more efficiently decoding.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 6, 23, 8 and 25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9556.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.



Shuwang Liu
October 23, 2002

**SHUWANG LIU
PRIMARY EXAMINER**